arXiv:hep-th/0701030v2 4 Apr 2009

Mass-Energy Relation of the Nonlinear Spinor

Ying-Qiu Gu[*]

School of Mathematical Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

(Dated: 3rd April 2009)

The nonlinear spinor fields coupled with the interactive potentials are important in the theory of elementary particles. In this paper, we establish the relationship between field theory and corresponding classical mechanics, and derive the local Lorentz transformations for the classical parameters. The classical mass of a particle is clearly defined, and the energy-speed relations for each potential term are strictly derived. The analysis in this paper shows that the different kind of potential results in different energy-speed relation, and the mass-energy relation $E = mc^2$ only exactly holds for the linear fields. The energy-speed relations can be used as fingerprints to identify the interactive potentials of a particle via elaborated experiments.

Keywords: *mass-energy relation, nonlinear spinor field, classical approximation*

PACC Code: 1110Q, 1240Q, 1110E, 0420M

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ta, 03.50.Kk, 03.65.Pm, 11.10.Lm

I. INTRODUCTION

The Einstein's mass-energy relation

$$E = mc^2, \qquad m = m_0 \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{1.1}$$

is the apotheosis of the elegance and simplicity of modern science, which becomes one of the cornerstone of the modern physics. However its original derivation is based on a idealized model and only valid for linear theories[1]. In [2] we find that, for a spinor with nonlinear potential, the energy-speed relation includes fine structure, namely, the energy caused by potentials differs from (1.1). In $E = mc^2$, the total mass m should weakly depend on the speed v of the particle, and different kind of potential leads to different energy-speed relation. Since the interactive potentials take an important role in the theory of elementary

---

[*] Electronic address: yqgu@fudan.edu.cn

particles, it is meaningful to derive the detailed energy-speed relation for each kind potential. These relations can be used as the fingerprints of the interactive potentials, and then can be measured by elaborated experiments.

In this paper we take $\hbar = c = 1$ as unit. Denote the Minkowski metric by $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}[1, -1, -1, -1]$, Pauli matrices by

$$\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma^j) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Define 4×4 Hermitian matrices as follows

$$\alpha^\mu = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma} \\ \vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -iI \\ iI & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.3}$$

We adopt the Hermitian matrices (1.3) instead of Dirac matrices γ^μ for the convenience of calculation.

In [2], we considered the nonlinear spinors with self electromagnetic field, the corresponding Lagrangian for a single spinor is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_e = \phi^+[\alpha^\mu(\hbar i\partial_\mu - eA_\mu) - \mu\gamma]\phi - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\mu A^\nu + F, \tag{1.4}$$

where the coefficient $\mu > 0$ is a constant mass, $F(\check{\gamma}) > 0$ is the nonlinear function of the quadratic scalar $\check{\gamma} \equiv \phi^+\gamma\phi$. In the case $F = \frac{1}{2}w\check{\gamma}^2, (w > 0)$, the classical approximation gives the following energy-speed relation[2]

$$\begin{cases} p^\mu = \left(m_e + W \ln\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}\right) u^\mu, \qquad W \equiv \frac{1}{2}w\int \check{\gamma}^2 d^3\bar{x}, \\ E_e = \frac{m_e}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} + W\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}\ln\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} + \sqrt{1-v^2}\right), \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

where $\bar{x}$ stands for the central coordinate system of the electron, and W the proper energy corresponding to nonlinear term, m_e the static mass, u^μ the 4-vector speed. In (1.5) the energy caused by self electromagnetic field is not included, which is treated as external field in [2].

In what follows we derive the complete energy-speed relations for a spinor including the nonlinear, scalar and vector interactive potentials, which are frequently used in particle models. Some detailed derivations similar to that in [2] are omitted here.

II. LORENTZ TRANSFORMATION FOR CLASSICAL PARAMETERS

At first, we derive the local Lorentz transformation for some integrals of the classical parameters, which are of generally significance. Considering the following models of nonlinear spinor ϕ and scalar ψ, their dynamical equations are given by

$$\alpha^\mu(\hbar i\partial_\mu - eA_\mu)\phi = (\mu - F')\gamma\phi, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\psi = K\psi, \tag{2.2}$$

where $K = K(|\psi|^2, \partial_\mu\psi^+\partial^\mu\psi)$ is a smooth real function. For the nonlinear equations (2.1) and (2.2), their solutions have particle-wave duality. Some numeric simulations for the standing wave solutions to (2.1) were performed in [3]-[10]. For both spinor ϕ and scalar ψ, the current conservation law holds due to the gauge invariance of their dynamical equations

$$\partial_\mu\rho^\mu = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where the current is defined respectively by

$$\rho^\mu = \begin{cases} \phi^+\alpha^\mu\phi, & \text{for spinor,} \\ i\kappa\Im(\psi^+\partial^\mu\psi), & \text{for scalar.} \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

κ is a normalizing constant. By (2.3) we have the normalizing condition

$$\int_{R^3}\rho^0 d^3x = 1. \tag{2.5}$$

In [2, 11], the local Lorentz transformations were frequently used for covariant integrals of the classical parameters. Here we provide a detailed proof, which is helpful to understand the relation between fields and their classical approximations. The proof was once provided in [12]. At first, we define some classical concepts for the fields ϕ and ψ.

Definition 1. For the field system ϕ or ψ, we define the **central coordinate** $\vec{X}$ and drifting **speed** $\vec{v}$ respectively by

$$\vec{X}(t) = \int_{R^3}\vec{x}\rho^0 d^3x, \qquad \vec{v} = \frac{d}{dt}\vec{X}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $t = x^0$. The coordinate system with central coordinate $\vec{X} = 0$ is called **the central coordinate system** of the field.

If the standing wave of a field takes the energy eigenstate in its central coordinate system, we call it at the **particle state**. Otherwise, the field is in the process of exchanging energy quantum with its environment, we call it in the **quantum process**. By the current conservation law (2.3), we have

$$\vec{v} = \int_{R^3}\vec{x}\partial_0\rho^0 d^3x = -\int_{R^3}\vec{x}\nabla\cdot\vec{\rho}d^3x = \int_{R^3}\vec{\rho}d^3x. \tag{2.7}$$

For the field at particle state, by (2.5) we have the classical approximation $\rho^0 \to \delta(\vec{x}-\vec{X})$. Then by (2.6) and (2.7) we get the classical approximation of a particle

$$\rho^\mu \to u^\mu \sqrt{1-v^2}\,\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{X}), \tag{2.8}$$

where u^μ is the 4-dimensional speed

$$u^\mu \equiv \xi v^\mu, \qquad \xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}, \qquad v^\mu = (1, \vec{v}). \tag{2.9}$$

It should point out that v^μ is just a notation rather than a 4-vector. (2.8) is the origin of the classical models 'mass-point' and 'point-charge'[2, 11]. For a nonlinear field at particle state, we can clearly define the classical parameters such as "momentum", "energy" and "mass", and then derive the classical mechanics from (2.1) or (2.2). Whereas for the field in the quantum process, the detailed description of the process should be the original equation (2.1) or (2.2).

In what follows, we examine the local Lorentz transformation for some classical parameters. Since the rotation transformation is trivial, we only consider the boost one. Considering the central coordinate system of the field with coordinate $\bar{X}^\mu$, which moves along x^1 at speed v, and $\bar{X}^k (k \ne 0)$ is parallel to x^k, so $\bar{X}^k = 0$ corresponds to the mass center $\vec{X}^k(t)$ of the field ϕ or ψ. Then the Lorentz transformation between x^μ and $\bar{X}^\mu$ in the form of matrix is given by

$$x = L(v)\bar{X}, \quad \bar{X} = L(v)^{-1}x = L(-v)x \tag{2.10}$$

where $x = (t, x^1, x^2, x^3)^T$, $\bar{X} = (\bar{X}^0, \bar{X}^1, \bar{X}^2, \bar{X}^3)^T$ and

$$L(v) = \mathrm{diag}\left[\begin{pmatrix} \xi & \xi v \\ \xi v & \xi \end{pmatrix}, 1, 1\right] = (L^\mu{}_\nu). \tag{2.11}$$

Assuming S, P^μ and $T^{\mu\nu}$ are any scalar, vector and tensor defined by some real functions of ψ or ϕ and their derivatives such as $S = |\psi|^2$, $T^{\mu\nu} = \Re(\phi^+ \alpha^\mu \partial^\nu \phi)$ etc. For the field at particle state, all these functions in central coordinate system are independent of proper time $\bar{X}^0$. Thus in the central coordinate system, the spatial integrals of these functions define the proper classical parameters of the field, and these proper parameters are all constants. Their Lorentz transformation laws are given by

Theorem 1. *For a field system at particle state, the integrals of covariant functions S, P^μ and $T^{\mu\nu}$ satisfy the following instantaneous Lorentz transformation laws under the* *boost transformation (2.10) between* x^μ *and* $\bar{X}^\mu$ *at* $dt=0$*,*

$$
\begin{aligned}
I &\equiv \int_{R^3} S(x)d^3x = \sqrt{1-v^2}\bar{I}, &(2.12)\\
I^\mu &\equiv \int_{R^3} P^\mu(x)d^3x = \sqrt{1-v^2}L^\mu{}_\nu\bar{I}^\nu, &(2.13)\\
I^{\mu\nu} &\equiv \int_{R^3} T^{\mu\nu}(x)d^3x = \sqrt{1-v^2}L^\mu{}_\alpha L^\nu{}_\beta\bar{I}^{\alpha\beta}, &(2.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{I}, \bar{I}^\mu, \bar{I}^{\mu\nu}$ *are the proper parameters defined in the central coordinate system*

$$
\bar{I} = \int_{R^3} S(\bar{X})d^3\bar{X}, \quad \bar{I}^\mu = \int_{R^3} \bar{P}^\mu d^3\bar{X}, \quad \bar{I}^{\mu\nu} = \int_{R^3} \bar{T}^{\mu\nu} d^3\bar{X}. \tag{2.15}
$$

Proof. We take (2.13) as an example to show the proof. For a field at the particle state, by the transformation law of contravariant vector, we have

$$
P^\mu(x) = L^\mu{}_\nu\bar{P}^\nu(\bar{X}) = L^\mu{}_\nu\bar{P}^\nu(\bar{X}^1,\bar{X}^2,\bar{X}^3) = P^\mu(\xi(x^1-vt),x^2,x^3). \tag{2.16}
$$

So the integral can be calculated as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^\mu &= \int_{R^3} P^\mu(x)d^3x\,|_{dt=0}\\
&= \int_{R^3} P^\mu(\xi(x^1-vt),x^2,x^3)\sqrt{1-v^2}d[\xi(x^1-vt)]dx^2dx^3 \qquad (2.17)\\
&= \int_{R^3} L^\mu{}_\nu\bar{P}^\nu(\bar{X})\sqrt{1-v^2}d^3\bar{X} = \sqrt{1-v^2}L^\mu{}_\nu\bar{I}^\nu.
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is finished.

Remarks 2. *The Lorentz transformation laws (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14) are valid for the varying speed* $v(t)$*, because the integrals are only related to the simultaneous condition* $dx^0=dt=0$*, and the relations are only related to algebraic calculations.*

Remarks 3. *When the field is not at the particle state, the covariant integrands will depend on the proper time* $\bar{X}^0$*, so the calculation (2.17) becomes invalid in general cases, and consequently the relations (2.12)-(2.14) usually hold approximately unless the integrand satisfies the conservation law similar to (2.3).*

In some text books, the relations (2.12)-(2.14) are directly derived via Lorentz transformation of the integrands and volume element relation

$$
d^3x = \sqrt{1-v^2}d^3\bar{X}. \tag{2.18}
$$

This calculation is only an approximation in general cases, because (2.18) is just spatial volume, it suffers from the problem of simultaneity. However, this approximation is accurate enough for classical mechanics of normal particles such as electron and proton, because in this case the constant mass μ is much larger then energy exchanged with the environment. The calculation in the next section will show this feature.

Usually, the proper parameters have very simple form. For any true vector, it always takes the form $\bar{I}^\mu = (\bar{I}^0, 0, 0, 0)$, then we get

$$I^\mu = \sqrt{1-v^2}\,\bar{I}^0 u^\mu. \tag{2.19}$$

In some cases, the symmetrical true tensor $I^{\mu\nu}$ can be expressed as

$$I^{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{1-v^2}\left(\bar{K} u^\mu u^\nu + \bar{J}\eta^{\mu\nu}\right), \tag{2.20}$$

where $\bar{K}, \bar{J}$ are constants.

III. THE ENERGY-SPEED RELATION OF A SPINOR

In this section, we take the following Lagrangian as example to show the derivation,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= \phi^+\alpha^\mu(i\partial_\mu - eA_\mu)\phi - \mu\check{\gamma} + F(\check{\gamma}) - s\check{\gamma}G \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}\kappa(\partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\mu A^\nu - a^2 A_\mu A^\mu) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\partial_\mu G \partial^\mu G - b^2 G^2),\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where A^μ and G include the self and external potential, $\kappa = \pm 1$ and $\lambda = \pm 1$ are used to stand for the repulsive or attractive self interaction, e.g. A^μ stands for repulsive electromagnetic potential if $(\kappa = 1, a = 0)$, but stands for attractive interactive potential similar to strong interaction if $(\kappa = -1, a \neq 0)$. G stands for a scalar interactive potential like Higgs field, which is repulsive if $\lambda = -1$, and attractive if $\lambda = 1$. $F(\check{\gamma}) > 0$ is a concave function satisfying

$$F'(\check{\gamma})\check{\gamma} > F(\check{\gamma}), \quad \text{if} \quad (\check{\gamma} > 0). \tag{3.2}$$

In (3.1), the interactive potentials are representative, which need not exactly stand for some known or unknown fields. What is important is that, different kind of interactive potential results in different energy-speed relation, and these energy-speed relations can be tested by experiments.

The corresponding dynamical equation is given by

$$\alpha^\mu(i\partial_\mu - eA_\mu)\phi = (\mu + sG - F')\gamma\phi, \tag{3.3}$$

$$(\partial_\alpha\partial^\alpha + a^2)A^\mu = \kappa e\rho^\mu, \tag{3.4}$$

$$(\partial_\alpha\partial^\alpha + b^2)G = \lambda s\check{\gamma}. \tag{3.5}$$

The Hamiltonian form of (3.3) reads

$$\hbar i\partial_t\phi = \hat{H}\phi, \quad \hat{H} = eA_0 + \vec{\alpha}\cdot(-i\nabla - e\vec{A}) + (\mu + sG - F')\gamma. \tag{3.6}$$

The complete dynamical equation of A^μ is given by the following Maxwell equation[14]

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\mu\rho^\mu = \partial_\mu A^\mu = 0, & (\partial^\alpha\partial_\alpha + a^2)A^\mu = \kappa e\rho^\mu, \\ \vec{E} = -\nabla A^0 - \partial_0\vec{A}, & \vec{B} = \nabla\times\vec{A}, \\ \nabla\cdot\vec{E} = \kappa e\rho^0 - a^2A^0, & \nabla\times\vec{E} = -\partial_0\vec{B}, \\ \nabla\cdot\vec{B} = 0, & \nabla\times\vec{B} = \partial_0\vec{E} + \kappa e\vec{\rho} - a^2\vec{A}, \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

where $\vec{A} = (A^1, A^2, A^3)$ is the spatial part of a contravariant vector A^μ. For the scalar G we have not similar decomposition with manifest physical meanings. By (3.6) we have the current conservation law (2.3), the normalizing condition (2.5) and the classical approximation (2.8).

Assuming (3.3) takes the particle-like solution, we can define the classical momentum and energy for the spinor as follows[2],

Definition 2. *Define the 4-dimensional momentum p^μ and energy E of the particle described by (3.1) respectively by*

$$p^\mu \equiv \int_{R^3}\phi_k^+(i\partial^\mu - eA^\mu)\phi d^3x, \tag{3.8}$$

$$E \equiv \int_{R^3}\left(\sum_{\forall f}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_t f)}\partial_t f - \mathcal{L}\right)d^3x = p^0 + E_F + E_A + E_G, \tag{3.9}$$

in which

$$E_F = \int_{R^3}(F'\check{\gamma} - F)d^3x, \tag{3.10}$$

$$E_A = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa\int_{R^3}(\partial_0\boldsymbol{A}_\mu\partial^0\boldsymbol{A}^\mu + \nabla\boldsymbol{A}_\mu\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{A}^\mu + a^2\boldsymbol{A}_\mu\boldsymbol{A}^\mu - 2\kappa e\rho_0\boldsymbol{A}^0)d^3x, \tag{3.11}$$

$$E_G = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda\int_{R^3}(\partial_0\boldsymbol{G}\partial^0\boldsymbol{G} + \nabla\boldsymbol{G}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{G} + b^2\boldsymbol{G}^2)d^3x, \tag{3.12}$$

where $\boldsymbol{A}^\mu$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ are potentials produced by the spinor ϕ itself, which satisfy the natural boundary condition.

According to the Nöther's theorem, the conserved energy of the system ϕ should be E defined by (3.9). In the central coordinate system $\bar{x}^\mu$ of the spinor, since the spinor takes the energy eigenstate, we have $\partial_0\mathbf{A}^\mu = \partial_0\mathbf{G} = 0$. By the Green functions of (3.4) and (3.5), we have the corresponding static energy

$$\begin{aligned} W_F &= \int_{R^3}(F'\check{\gamma}-F)d^3\bar{x}>0, && (3.13)\\ W_A &= \frac{1}{2}\kappa\int_{R^3}(\mathbf{A}_\mu(\Delta-a^2)\mathbf{A}^\mu+2\kappa e\rho_0\mathbf{A}^0)d^3\bar{x}\\ &= \frac{1}{2}e\int_{R^3}[\rho_0\mathbf{A}^0+\vec{\rho}\cdot\vec{\mathbf{A}}]d^3\bar{x}\\ &= \frac{\kappa e^2}{8\pi}\int_{R^6}\frac{e^{-ar}}{r}[|\phi(\bar{x})|^2|\phi(\bar{y})|^2+\vec{\rho}(\bar{x})\cdot\vec{\rho}(\bar{y})]d^3\bar{x}d^3\bar{y}\\ &\doteq \frac{\kappa e^2}{8\pi}\int_{R^6}\frac{e^{-ar}}{r}|\phi(\bar{x})|^2|\phi(\bar{y})|^2d^3\bar{x}d^3\bar{y}, && (3.14)\\ W_G &= \frac{1}{2}\lambda\int_{R^3}\mathbf{G}(\Delta-b^2)\mathbf{G}d^3\bar{x}=-\frac{1}{2}s\int_{R^3}\check{\gamma}\mathbf{G}d^3\bar{x}\\ &= -\frac{\lambda s^2}{8\pi}\int_{R^6}\frac{e^{-br}}{r}\check{\gamma}(\bar{x})\check{\gamma}(\bar{y})d^3\bar{x}d^3\bar{y}, && (3.15)\end{aligned}$$

where $r=|\bar{x}-\bar{y}|$. By (3.14) and (3.15), we find W_A, W_G provide contrary self energy, so the scalar field is quite different from the vector one in some aspects.

According to Theorem 1, making local Lorentz transformation, we get energy-speed relation for each part of the system of a moving spinor ϕ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} E_F &= W_F\sqrt{1-v^2}, && (3.16)\\ E_A &\doteq W_A\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}-\sqrt{1-v^2}-\frac{2v^2}{3\sqrt{1-v^2}}\right)+W_a\frac{v^2}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}, && (3.17)\\ E_G &= W_G\left(\sqrt{1-v^2}+\frac{2v^2}{3\sqrt{1-v^2}}\right)-W_b\frac{v^2}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}. && (3.18)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_a &= \frac{\kappa}{3}\left(\frac{ae}{4\pi}\right)^2\int_{R^3}\left(\int_{R^3}\frac{e^{-ar}}{r}|\phi(\bar{y})|^2d^3\bar{y}\right)^2d^3\bar{x}, && (3.19)\\ W_b &= \frac{\lambda}{3}\left(\frac{bs}{4\pi}\right)^2\int_{R^3}\left(\int_{R^3}\frac{e^{-br}}{r}\check{\gamma}(\bar{y})d^3\bar{y}\right)^2d^3\bar{x}. && (3.20)\end{aligned}$$

Now we examine the term p^μ. It is easy to check the following Ehrenfest theorem.

Lemma 4. *For any Hermitian operator $\hat{P}$ and corresponding classical quantity P for the spinor defined by*

$$P\equiv\int_{R^3}\phi^+\hat{P}\phi d^3x, \tag{3.21}$$

we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}P=\int_{R^3}\phi^+\left(\partial_t\hat{P}+i[\hat{H},\hat{P}]\right)\phi d^3x, \tag{3.22}$$

where $[\hat{H},\hat{P}]=\hat{H}\hat{P}-\hat{P}\hat{H}$.

By Lemma 4 and the dynamical equation (3.6), we have[2]

Theorem 5. *For 4-dimensional momentum* p^μ *defined by (3.8), we have the following rigorous dynamical equations*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}p^0 &= \int (e\vec{\rho}\cdot\vec{E}+s\check{\gamma}\partial_0 G)d^3x-\frac{d}{dt}E_F, \\ \frac{d}{dt}\vec{p} &= \int [e(\rho^0\vec{E}+\vec{\rho}\times\vec{B})-s\check{\gamma}\nabla G]d^3x, \end{cases} \tag{3.23}$$

where $\vec{E}$ *and* $\vec{B}$ *include the intensity of self potential* $\boldsymbol{A}^\mu$.

Substituting the classical approximation (2.8) into (3.23), we get the Newtonian second law for the spinor ϕ

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}p^0 &= e\vec{v}\cdot\vec{E}(t,\vec{X})+sW_\gamma\sqrt{1-v^2}\partial_t G(t,\vec{X})-\frac{d}{dt}E_F, \\ \frac{d}{dt}\vec{p} &= e(\vec{E}+\vec{v}\times\vec{B})-sW_\gamma\sqrt{1-v^2}\nabla G(t,\vec{X}), \end{cases} \tag{3.24}$$

where $W_\gamma=\int_{R^3}\check{\gamma}d^3\bar{x}$. By the dynamical equation (3.3), we get[2]

$$p^\mu=\int_{R^3}\Re[\phi^+\alpha^\mu(i\partial_0-eA_0)\phi]d^3x. \tag{3.25}$$

Assuming the spinor takes the energy eigenstate and moves smoothly, by (2.8) and (3.25) we find $p^\mu\propto v^\mu$, then by covariance we have

$$p^\mu=mu^\mu, \tag{3.26}$$

where $m=\sqrt{p^\mu p_\mu}$ is the scalar mass of the spinor. (3.26) times (3.24), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(p^\mu p_\mu) = msW_\gamma v^\mu\partial_\mu G-mW_F\frac{d}{dt}\ln\sqrt{1-v^2}, \tag{3.27}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}m = \frac{d}{dt}[sW_\gamma G-W_F\ln(\sqrt{1-v^2})]. \tag{3.28}$$

Integrating (3.28) we get the moving inertial mass m of the spinor ϕ

$$m=m_0+sW_\gamma G(t,\vec{X})-W_F\ln\sqrt{1-v^2}, \tag{3.29}$$

where m_0 is constant static mass. Substituting (3.29) into (3.26) we get

$$p^\mu=\left(m_0+sW_\gamma G-W_F\ln\sqrt{1-v^2}\right)u^\mu. \tag{3.30}$$

Substituting (3.30), (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18) into (3.9), we finally get the complete energy-speed relation for spinor ϕ as follows, which includes the contributions of the concomitant fields.

$$\begin{aligned}
E &= E_0 - M_1\frac{v^2}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} + \frac{W_F}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}\ln\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}, && (3.31)\\
E_0 &= \frac{M_0}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}, && (3.32)\\
M_0 &= m_0 + W_F + sW_\gamma G + W_G + W_A, && (3.33)\\
M_1 &= W_F + \frac{1}{3}(W_G - W_A) - W_a + W_b, && (3.34)
\end{aligned}$$

where M_0 is the total static mass of ϕ. By (3.31) and (3.32), we have

$$\frac{E}{E_0} - 1 = \frac{W_F - 2M_1}{2M_0}v^2 + \frac{W_F}{4M_0}v^4 + O(v^6). \tag{3.35}$$

(3.35) can be tested by experiments, and 3 parameters (M_0, M_1, W_F) can be determined.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We established the relationship between field theory and corresponding classical mechanics, and derived their local Lorentz transformations. The classical mass of a particle is clearly defined, and the energy-speed relations for each potential term are derived. From these results, we get the following important conclusions.

1. For normal particles such as electron, the numerical results[3]-[10] showed that the mass contributed by potentials (W_F, W_A, W_G) and so on are much less than $m_0 \approx \mu$, so the Einstein's mass-energy relation holds to high precision if $v \ll c$.

2. By (3.30), (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18), we learn different potential has different energy-speed relation, so we can identify the interactive potentials by testing the fine structure of the energy-speed relation of a particle[13]. Especially, the existence of nonlinear potential F can be determined, which is much important to realize the nature of fundamental particles.

3. By (3.29) or (3.30) we find the external scalar G manifestly influence the inertial mass and momentum of a particle. This effect will seriously ruin the classical mechanics, so the scalar field might be absent in the Nature[8, 14].

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to his supervisor Prof. Ta-Tsien Li and Prof. Han-Ji Shang for their encouragement.

---

[1] Einstein, A. Ann. der Phys. 18, 639-641 (1905).

[2] Y. Q. Gu, *New Approach to N-body Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A22:2007-2020(2007), arXiv:hep-th/0610153

[3] R. Finkelsten, et al, Phys. Rev. **83(2)**, 326-332(1951)

[4] M. Soler, Phys. Rev. **D1(10)**, 2766-2767(1970)

[5] M. Wakano, Prog. Theor. Phys. **35**, 1117(1966)

[6] M. Soler, Phys. Rev. **D8**, 3424(1973)

[7] A. F. Randa, M. Soler, Phys. Rev. **D8**, 3430(1973)

[8] Y. Q. Gu, *Some Properties of the Spinor Soliton*, Adv in Appl. Clif. Alg. **8(1)**, 17-29(1998), http://www.clifford-algebras.org/v8/81/gu81.pdf

[9] Y. Q. Gu, *Spinor Soliton with Electromagnetic Field*, Adv in Appl. Clif. Alg. **V8(2)**, 271-282(1998), http://www.clifford-algebras.org/v8/82/gu82.htm

[10] Y. Q. Gu, *Characteristic Functions and Typical Values of the Nonlinear Dark Spinor*, arXiv:hep-th/0611210

[11] Y. Q. Gu, *A Cosmological Model with Dark Spinor Source*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A22:4667-4678(2007), gr-qc/0610147

[12] Y. Q. Gu, *Local Lorentz Transformation and "Lorentz Violation"* , arXiv:0708.1643

[13] Y. Q. Gu, *The Test of Mass-Energy Relation*, to appear.

[14] Y. Q. Gu, *Canonical Form of Field Equations*, arXiv:hep-th/0610189, Adv in Appl. Clif. Alg. **V7(1)**, 13-24(1997), http://www.clifford-algebras.org/v7/v71/GU71.pdf